arXiv:1611.09525v1 [math.CO] 29 Nov 2016

On the real roots of σ-Polynomials

Jason Brown* Aysel Erey

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3J5

November 30, 2016

Abstract

The σ-polynomial is given by $\sigma(G,x) = \sum_{i=\chi(G)}^{n} a_i(G)\, x^i$, where $a_i(G)$ is the number of partitions of the vertices of G into i nonempty independent sets. These polynomials are closely related to chromatic polynomials, as the chromatic polynomial of G is given by $\sum_{i=\chi(G)}^{n} a_i(G)\, x(x-1)\cdots(x-(i-1))$. It is known that the closure of the real roots of chromatic polynomials is precisely $\{0,\ 1\} \bigcup [32/27, \infty)$, with $(-\infty, 0)$, $(0,1)$ and $(1, 32/27)$ being maximal zero-free intervals for roots of chromatic polynomials. We ask here whether such maximal zero-free intervals exist for σ-polynomials, and show that the only such interval is $[0, \infty)$ – that is, the closure of the real roots of σ-polynomials is $(-\infty, 0]$.

Keywords: chromatic polynomial, σ-polynomial, σ-root, closure, zero-free interval

1 Introduction

Given a (finite undirected) graph G, the well known chromatic polynomial $\pi(G,x)$ is, for each nonnegative integer $x = k$, the number of ways to properly colour the vertices of G with the colours $1, 2, \ldots, k$ so that no two adjacent vertices receive the same colour. That the function is indeed a polynomial in the number of colours, x, is a fundamental result, but as a polynomial, it is natural to investigate about chromatic roots, that is, the roots of chromatic polynomials (indeed, the *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ of graph G is the least positive integer k that is not a chromatic root of G, and the famous Four Colour Theorem is equivalent to stating that 4 is never a chromatic root of a planar graph). There are numerous results on the nature and location of chromatic roots (see, for example, [4]). One of the more interesting problems concerns the real roots of chromatic polynomials. It is well known that the chromatic polynomial is a real polynomial whose coefficients alternate in sign, and hence

*Corresponding author, e-mail: jason.brown@dal.ca

all real chromatic roots lie in $[0, \infty)$. A basic (and easily proved) fact is that $(0, 1)$ is free of chromatic roots, and Jackson [11] showed $(1, 32/27]$ is a zero-free region for chromatic roots. To complete the picture, Thomassen [14] proved that there are real chromatic roots arbitrarily close to every real greater than or equal to $32/27$, so it follows that the closure of the real chromatic roots is precisely $\{0,\ 1\} \bigcup [32/27, \infty)$.

We now describe a related family of polynomials. An *i-colour partition* of G is a partition of the vertices of G into i nonempty independent sets (That is, sets that do not contain any edges of G). The *σ-polynomial* of G (see [2]) is defined as

$$\sigma(G, x) = \sum_{i=\chi(G)}^{n} a_i(G)\, x^i$$

where $a_i(G)$ is the number of i-colour partitons of G. The coefficients a_i are also known as the *graphical Stirling numbers* [5, 7], as if a graph has no edges, then a_i is simply equal to the Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, i)$. It follows that the σ-polynomials of empty graphs correspond to the generating functions for Stirling numbers of the second kind and such polynomials were studied [9, 13] and shown to have all real roots.

The σ-polynomials first arose in the study of chromatic polynomials, since the chromatic polynomial of G can be given as

$$\pi(G, x) = \sum a_i(G)\, (x)_{\downarrow i},$$

where $(x)_{\downarrow i} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-i+1)$ is the *falling factorial of x*. The σ-polynomial was introduced by Korfhage [12] in a slightly different form (he refers to the polynomial $(\sum_{i=\chi(G)}^{n} a_i x^i)/x^{\chi(G)}$ as the σ-polynomial), and σ-polynomials have attracted considerable attention in the literature.

Brenti [2] studied the σ-polynomials extensively and investigated both log-concavity and the nature of the roots. Brenti, Royle and Wagner [3] proved that a variety of conditions are sufficient for a σ-polynomial to have only real roots. But a natural question to ask is whether, like chromatic polynomials, there are zero-free regions on the real axis, and moreover, what is the closure of the real σ-roots (that is, the closure of the roots of σ-polynomials). A plot of the σ-roots for all graphs of small order (see Figure 1) seems to indicate that the real roots are filling up the negative real axis, and indeed we shall prove in the next section that this is the case.

2 Closure of the real roots of σ-polynomials

We will refer to few other classical graph polynomials in our quest to determine the closure of the real σ-roots. Given a graph G of order n, the *adjacency matrix of G*, $A(G)$, is the $n \times n$ matrix with (i, j)-entry equal to 1 if the i-th vertex of G is adjacent to the j-th, and equal to 0 otherwise. The *characteristic polynomial* $\phi(G, x)$ of G is defined by

$$\phi(G, x) = \det(xI - A(G)).$$



Figure 1: The roots of σ-polynomials of all connected 7-vertex graphs.

It is known (see, [8, pg. 2]) that if G is a forest then $\phi(G,x) = m(G,x)$, where $m(G,x)$ is the *matching polynomial of* G:

$$m(G,x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i(G)(-1)^i x^{n-2i},$$

where $m_i(G)$ is the number of matchings of size i in G ($m_0(G) \equiv 1$ by convention). A famous result (see [8]) that matching polynomials have all real roots. Also, it is not difficult to see that if G is a triangle-free graph then

$$\sigma(\overline{G}, -x^2) = (-x)^n\, m(G,x).$$

For a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}$ of polynomials, z is called a *limit of roots* of $\{f_n(x)\}$ if there is a sequence $\{z_n\}$ such that $f_n(z_n) = 0$ and z_n converges to z. Let $P_0(x), P_1(x), \ldots$ be a sequence of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ which satisfy the recursion of degree k

$$P_{n+k}(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j(x) P_{n+k-j}(x) \tag{1}$$

where the f_j are polynomials. The *characteristic equation* of this recursion is

$$\lambda^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j(x)\lambda^{k-j} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Let $\lambda_1(x), \ldots, \lambda_k(x)$ be the roots of the characteristic equation. If the $\lambda_j(x)$ are distinct, then it is well known that the solution of the recursion in (1) has the form

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j(x)\lambda_j(x)^n. \tag{3}$$

(If there are repeated roots values at x, (3) is modified in the natural way – see [1]). The $\alpha_j(x)$ are determined in any event by solving the k linear equations in the $\alpha_j(x)$ obtained by letting $n = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ in (3) or its variant. The *nondegeneracy conditions* on the recursive family of polynomials in (1) is defined as follows

(i) $\{P_n\}$ does not satisfy a recursion of order less than k.

(ii) For no pair $i \neq j$ is $\lambda_i(x) \equiv \omega\lambda_j(x)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ of unit modulus.

The following theorem provides the limits of roots of recursive families of polynomials, and will be central to our argument.

Theorem 2.1 (Beraha-Kahane-Weiss Theorem [1])**.** Suppose that $\{P_n(x)\}$ is a sequence of polynomials which satisfies (1) and the nondegeneracy requirements, and has solution

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j(x)\lambda_j(x)^n.$$

Then z is a limit of roots of if and only if one of the following holds:

(i) two or more of the $\lambda_i(x)$ are of equal modulus and strictly greater in modulus than the others (if any).

(ii) for some j, $\lambda_j(x)$ has modulus strictly greater than all the other $\lambda_i(x)$ have and $\alpha_j(z) = 0$.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.2. The roots of σ-polynomials are dense in $(-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. Since every triangle-free graph G satisfies $\sigma(\overline{G}, -x^2) = (-x)^n\, m(G, x)$, it suffices to show that the roots of matching polynomials of triangle-free graphs are dense in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Obviously, trees are triangle-free graphs. Also, as we already mentioned, the matching polynomials of trees and equal to their characteristic polynomials [8]. So it suffices to show that the roots of characteristic polynomials of a certain family of trees are dense in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

A tree T is called a *balanced rooted tree with a root* w if T has a vertex w and there exist integers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ such that $V(T) \setminus \{w\}$ can be partitioned into k subsets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ where w has exactly n_k neighbours in A_k and each vertex in A_i has exactly n_{i-1} neighbours in A_{i-1} for $2 \leq i \leq k$. We will denote T by $T(n_k, n_{k-1}, \ldots, n_1)$. Note that if $n_i = n$ for every i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ then $T(n_k, n_{k-1}, \ldots, n_1)$ is a complete n-ary tree on $\frac{n^{k+1}-1}{n-1}$ vertices and we denote it by T_n^k. In [10] it was shown that roots of the characteristic polynomial of $T(n_k, n_{k-1}, \ldots n_1)$ contain the roots of the recursively defined polynomials $P_k(x)$, where

$$P_j(x) = x\, P_{j-1}(x) - n_j\, P_{j-2}(x) \tag{4}$$

for $j = 2, \ldots, k$; as these roots are roots of characteristic polynomials of graphs, they are always real.

Now let n be a fixed positive integer. By the formula given in (4), the roots of the characteristic polynomial of T_n^k contain the roots of the polynomials $P_k(x)$, which are defined recursively as

$$P_k(x) = x\,P_{k-1}(x) - n\,P_{k-2}(x)$$

for $k \geq 2$. The sequence of polynomials $P_2(x), P_3(x), \ldots$ satisfying a recursion of degree 2, with the characteristic equation of this recursion being

$$\lambda^2 - x\lambda + n = 0.$$

The roots of this characteristic equation are

$$\lambda_1(x) = \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(x) = \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{2}.$$

It is easy to check that the nondegeneracy conditions are satisfied. Therefore, by Beraha-Kahane-Weiss Theorem, every $x \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\left|\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{2}\right| \tag{5}$$

is a limit of the roots of the sequence $P_2(z), P_3(z), \ldots$. Observe that $x \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies (5) if and only if

$$\left|x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}\right| = \left|x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4n}\right|$$

or equivalently,

$$\left|1 + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{x}\right| = \left|1 - \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{x}\right|. \tag{6}$$

Now, $x \in \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of (6) if and only if $\frac{\sqrt{x^2-4n}}{x}$ is equidistant from 1 and -1, that is, $\frac{\sqrt{x^2-4n}}{x}$ is on the imaginary axis. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4n}}{x} = ai \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{x^2 - 4n}{x^2} = -a^2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + a^2}}.$$

Thus, $x = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{1+a^2}}$ is a limit of the roots of $\{P_k(z)\}_{k=2,3,\ldots}$ for every real number a. Therefore, the roots of $\{P_k(z)\}_{k=2,3,\ldots}$ are dense in $[-2\sqrt{n}, 2\sqrt{n}]$. Now, letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain that the roots of the characteristic polynomials of complete k-ary trees are dense in $\mathbb{R}$. □

3 Further Remarks

Of course, our results from the previous section show that the real σ-roots are unbounded, but one might wonder how far to the left they might go, as a function of the order. From



Figure 2: The graphs of order 8 whose σ-polynomials have nonreal roots.

the results of [15] (the *adjoint polynomial* of a graph is the σ-polynomial of its complement) it follows that deleting an edge form a graph can only decrease the minimum real σ-root leftward. Thus the minimum real σ-root among all graphs of order n occurs for $\overline{K_n}$, whose σ-polynomial is the generating function for the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Weak asymptotics [6] have been investigated for the distribution of roots of the scaled σ-polynomials of such graphs, $\sigma(\overline{K_n}, nx)$, and we can use the results to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and large enough n, there is a real σ-root of $\overline{K_n}$ in the interval $(-ne, -n(1-\varepsilon)e)$ (we omit the details).

Have we captured the closure of *all* the σ-roots with our main result? Unfortunately, the answer is no, as there are graphs with nonreal σ-roots, but they are rare for small graphs – there are only two such graphs, shown in Figure 2, of order at most 8 [3]. The situation is not much better for order 9; among all 274,668 connected graphs of order 9, only 42 have nonreal σ-roots. It is not hard to see that the σ-roots of the join of two disjoint graphs (formed from the disjoint union by adding in all edges between the two graphs) is the union of the σ-roots of each, so we can use the join operation to produce infinitely many graphs with nonreal σ-roots, but the set of σ-roots is rather small (and the roots only have small imaginary part in absolute value). In fact, currently only finitely many nonreal σ-roots are known. We present a recursive construction of a family of graphs which appear to have distinct nonreal σ-roots, with larger imaginary part in absolute value.

Let $H^t_{n,k}$ be the graph K_n with a path of size t hanging off k vertices of the clique K_n. More precisely, $H^t_{n,k}$ is the graph on $n + kt$ vertices and $\binom{n}{2} + kt$ edges whose vertex set is equal to

$$\{u_i : 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{v_i^j : 1 \le i \le t,\, 1 \le j \le k\}$$

and edge set is equal to

$$\{u_i u_j : 1 \le i < j \le n\} \cup \{u_i v_1^i : 1 \le i \le k\} \cup \{v_i^j v_{i+1}^j : 1 \le i \le t-1,\, 1 \le j \le k\}$$

(see Figure 3).

The σ-roots of such graphs are often nonreal with distinct roots, and can have relatively large imaginary part (see Figures 5,6,4), although we do not have a proof of this in general.



Figure 3: The graph $H^2_{5,3}$.



Figure 4: Nonreal roots of the adjoint polynomial of $H^n_{n,n}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 10$.



Figure 5: Nonreal roots of the adjoint polynomial of $H^2_{n,n}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 35$.



Figure 6: Nonreal roots of the adjoint polynomial of $H^3_{n,n}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 30$.

We leave off with three tantalizing questions. First, can the imaginary part of a σ-root be unbounded? Secondly, can there be sigma roots in the right-half plane, or is the polynomial always *Hurwitz stable*? And more generally, what is the closure of the σ-roots in the complex plane?

Acknowledgments: This research was partially supported by a grant from NSERC.

References

[1] Beraha, S., Kahane, J. and Weiss, Limits of zeroes of recursively defined families of polynomials, in: |em Studies in Foundations and Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies (G.-C. Rota, ed.), Academic Press, New York, (1978), 213–232.

[2] F. Brenti, Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 332 (1992), 729–756.

[3] F. Brenti, G.F. Royle, D.G. Wagner, Location of zeros of chromatic and related polynomials of graphs, Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), 55–80.

[4] Dong, F.M., Koh, K.M. and Teo, K.L., *Chromatic Polynomials And Chromaticity Of Graphs*, World Scientific, London, 2005.

[5] B. Duncan, R. Peele, Bell and Stirling Numbers for Graphs, J. Integer Seq. 12 (2009), article 09.7.1.

[6] C. Elbert, Weak asymptotics for the generating polynomials of the Stirling numbers of the second kind, J. Approx. Th. 109 (2001), 218–228.

[7] D. Galvin and D.T. Thanh, Stirling numbers of forests and cycles, Electron. J. Combin. 20 (2013), P73.

[8] C.D. Godsil, *Algebraic Combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall Inc., New York, 1993.

[9] L. H. Harper, Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal, Annals Math. Stat. 38 (1967), 410–414.

[10] P. Híc and R. Nedela, Balanced integral trees, Math. Slovaca 48 (1998), 429–445.

[11] B. Jackson, A zero-free interval for chromatic polynomials of graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 2 (1993), 325–336.

[12] R. R. Korfhage, σ-polynomials and graph coloring, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 24 (1978), 137–153.

[13] E.H. Lieb, Concavity Properties and a Generating Function for Stirling Numbers, J. Combin. Theory 5 (1968) 203-206.

[14] C. Thomassen, The zero-free intervals for chromatic polynomials of graphs, Combin. Prob. Comput. 6 (1997), 497–506.

[15] H. Zhao, Chromaticity and adjoint polynomials of graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Twente, 2005.